

CC 92-77



EX PARTE OR LATE FILED
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

December 13, 1994

RECEIVED

DEC 13 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Mr. Jimmy Wilder
115 Beaver Ridge Road
Asheville, North Carolina 28804

Dear Mr. Wilder:

The purpose of this correspondence is to reply to your letter to the Honorable Lauch Faircloth regarding the Commission's Billed Party Preference (BPP) proceeding. On May 19, 1994, the Commission adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in this proceeding. I have enclosed a copy of the Further Notice and press release accompanying it for your information.

The Further Notice sets forth a detailed cost/benefit analysis of BPP. This analysis indicates, based on the available data, that the benefits of BPP to consumers would exceed its costs. The Further Notice sought comment on this analysis and asked interested parties to supplement the record concerning the costs and benefits of BPP. The Further Notice also invited parties to recommend alternatives to BPP that could produce many of the same benefits at a lower cost.

Reply comments were due September 14, 1994. Presently, the Commission is evaluating the comments submitted and considering the implementation of BPP along with other options. I can assure you that the Commission will carefully examine all of the comments submitted in response to the Further Notice, including additional empirical data regarding the costs and benefits of implementing BPP.

Thank you for your interest in this proceeding.

Sincerely yours,

John E. Logan
Deputy Director
Office of Legislative and Inter-governmental Affairs

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

2



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

December 13, 1994

Mr. Billy Hargett
412 River Ridge Road
Asheville, North Carolina 28803

Dear Mr. Hargett:

The purpose of this correspondence is to reply to your letter to the Honorable Lauch Faircloth regarding the Commission's Billed Party Preference (BPP) proceeding. On May 19, 1994, the Commission adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in this proceeding. I have enclosed a copy of the Further Notice and press release accompanying it for your information.

The Further Notice sets forth a detailed cost/benefit analysis of BPP. This analysis indicates, based on the available data, that the benefits of BPP to consumers would exceed its costs. The Further Notice sought comment on this analysis and asked interested parties to supplement the record concerning the costs and benefits of BPP. The Further Notice also invited parties to recommend alternatives to BPP that could produce many of the same benefits at a lower cost.

Reply comments were due September 14, 1994. Presently, the Commission is evaluating the comments submitted and considering the implementation of BPP along with other options. I can assure you that the Commission will carefully examine all of the comments submitted in response to the Further Notice, including additional empirical data regarding the costs and benefits of implementing BPP.

Thank you for your interest in this proceeding.

Sincerely yours,


John E. Logan
Deputy Director
Office of Legislative and Inter-governmental Affairs

Enclosures



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

December 13, 1994

Mr. Garrett Edwards
100 Wild Cherry Road
Asheville, North Carolina 28804

Dear Mr. Edwards:

The purpose of this correspondence is to reply to your letter to the Honorable Lauch Faircloth regarding the Commission's Billed Party Preference (BPP) proceeding. On May 19, 1994, the Commission adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in this proceeding. I have enclosed a copy of the Further Notice and press release accompanying it for your information.

The Further Notice sets forth a detailed cost/benefit analysis of BPP. This analysis indicates, based on the available data, that the benefits of BPP to consumers would exceed its costs. The Further Notice sought comment on this analysis and asked interested parties to supplement the record concerning the costs and benefits of BPP. The Further Notice also invited parties to recommend alternatives to BPP that could produce many of the same benefits at a lower cost.

Reply comments were due September 14, 1994. Presently, the Commission is evaluating the comments submitted and considering the implementation of BPP along with other options. I can assure you that the Commission will carefully examine all of the comments submitted in response to the Further Notice, including additional empirical data regarding the costs and benefits of implementing BPP.

Thank you for your correspondence to us and for your interest in this proceeding.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "John E. Logan", is written over the typed name.

John E. Logan
Deputy Director
Office of Legislative and Inter-governmental Affairs

Enclosures



Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

December 13, 1994

Mr. W. B. Edwards
1598 Wildwood Acres
Asheville, North Carolina 28806

Dear Mr. Edwards:

The purpose of this correspondence is to reply to your letter to the Honorable Lauch Faircloth regarding the Commission's Billed Party Preference (BPP) proceeding. On May 19, 1994, the Commission adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in this proceeding. I have enclosed a copy of the Further Notice and press release accompanying it for your information.

The Further Notice sets forth a detailed cost/benefit analysis of BPP. This analysis indicates, based on the available data, that the benefits of BPP to consumers would exceed its costs. The Further Notice sought comment on this analysis and asked interested parties to supplement the record concerning the costs and benefits of BPP. The Further Notice also invited parties to recommend alternatives to BPP that could produce many of the same benefits at a lower cost.

Reply comments were due September 14, 1994. Presently, the Commission is evaluating the comments submitted and considering the implementation of BPP along with other options. I can assure you that the Commission will carefully examine all of the comments submitted in response to the Further Notice, including additional empirical data regarding the costs and benefits of implementing BPP.

Thank you for your interest in this proceeding.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, which appears to read "John E. Logan", is written over the typed name.

John E. Logan
Deputy Director
Office of Legislative and Inter-governmental Affairs

Enclosures

Washington Aide Tom Roddis

July 15, 1994

Senator Lauch Faircloth
37 Battery Park Ave., STE 16
Asheville, NC 28801

1994 AUG -9 PM 1:57

RE: Billed Party Preference

Dear Senator:

We are all senior citizens and would like to express our very negative opinion of this mandate, the FCC is considering. We understand the Federal Communication Commission desire to give us access to the carrier of our choice, but we have found that the present system of (10ATT-10288) is very complicated and the new system will be more so.

To place a credit card call to Texas now you must do the following:

10288-0---803-726-4816---704-252-8588-6485

That's 30 numbers we must put in the phone!

To place a call to Texas on the new system proposed you must do the following:

YOUR NO.	CHARGE CALL NO. CALLED TO	CHARGE CARD#
704-433-5901	--0--803-726-4876	---704-252-8588--6485

That's 35 numbers! When is it going to stop. We need it more simple not more complicated.

We each would appreciate hearing from you.

Sincerely,

WB Edwards
WB Edwards
1598 Wildwood Acres
Asheville, NC 28806

Billy Hargett
Billy Hargett
412 River Ridge Dr
Asheville, NC 28803

Garrett Edwards
Garrett Edwards
100 Wild Cherry Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

Jimmy Wilder
Jimmy Wilder
115 Beaver Ridge Rd
Asheville, NC 28804

Pending No
141519

Pending No
141530

Pending No
141524

Pending No
141526